



Effect of Nutrients and Growth Regulators on Fruit Set, Fruit Retention and Yield of Ber CV. Banarasi

**Krishna Surendar K. ^a, A. Senthil ^b, P. Balasubramanian ^a,
ChelviRamessh. ^c, Sathiyavani E. ^{d*}, Krishna Kumar S. ^d,
B. Venudevan ^c and Nallakurumban B ^c**

^a Regional Research Station, Aruppukottai, Virudhunagar, Tamil Nadu, India.

^b Department of Crop Physiology, TNAU, Coimbatore, India.

^c ICAR - Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Virudhunagar, Tamil Nadu, India.

^d Department of Agronomy, TNAU, Coimbatore, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/acri/2025/v25i91529>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/143042>

Short Research Article

Received: 26/06/2025
Published: 24/09/2025

ABSTRACT

Fruit quality is found best under hot sunny and dry conditions but there should be a support to the growth and flowering leaving enough soil moisture to carry the fruit to maturity. The experiment was conducted at Regional Research Station, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Aruppukottai and laid in a Randomized Block design with four replications. This study was undertaken to determine the effect of micronutrients and plant growth regulators on morpho-physiological and yield and yield parameters of ber under rainfed vertisol and to fix up suitable method of application of micronutrients and plant growth regulators combination for high yield and quality of ber under

*Corresponding author: Email: sathiyavani.priyanga@gmail.com;

rainfed vertisol conditions. The plots had five treatments viz., T₁ - Nutrient mixture, T₂ - Nutrient mixture + NAA 50ppm, T₃ - Nutrient mixture + Salicylic acid 50ppm, T₄ - Nutrient mixture + NAA 50ppm+ Salicylic acid 50ppm, T₅ - Control. The variety Banarasi was used as experimental material with all normal cultural practices followed for ber cultivation. The foliar applications of a nutrient mixture with hormones were sprayed at full foliage stage and the peak flowering stage. Three trees were selected from each treatment and replication for recording morphological observations on Tree height (m), Girth (cm), Number of primary branches per tree, No of secondary branches per Tree and Tree spread East – West and North – South (m). Physiological parameters viz., Ascorbic acid (mg/100g), soluble protein (mg /g), total chlorophyll (mg/g), specific leaf weight (mg/cm²), relative water content (%) and chlorophyll stability index (%) were recorded. The yield and quality characters like., number of fruits per tree, pulp weight (g), stone weight (g), pulp stone ratio and total soluble solids (brix) were recorded during the harvest stage. Comparing the five treatments, foliar application of Nutrient mixture + Salicylic acid 50ppm (T₃) recorded the highest value in morphological characters. While the treatment T₄ (Nutrient mixture + NAA 50ppm+ Salicylic acid 50ppm) registered the highest value in physiological, quality and yield characters in ber. The increase in the fruit yield with the foliar application of nutrients may be attributed to increased fruit size, fruit weight and minimum fruit drop. In addition, more cell division, cell elongation and translocation of photosynthates and metabolites from leaves to the developing fruit resulted in higher fruit yield.

Keywords: Nutrients; PGRs; morphology; physiological; ber; metabolites.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ber or Indian jujube (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) belong to family Rhamenaceae, is one of the most ancient and common fruit of Indian subcontinents and South Western China. Ber is grown under rain-fed condition in arid and semi-arid region of India and has been identified as highly drought and heat tolerant fruit crop (Hussain et al., 2021). It is cultivated widely for its resistance to grow in drought and other diversified soil and climatic conditions. It is a hardy tree that copes with extremes temperature and thrives well under dry conditions. Fruit quality is found best under hot sunny and dry conditions but there should be a support to the growth and flowering leaving enough soil moisture to carry the fruit to maturity (Patel et al., 2023). The ber plant is quick growing, early bearing and spreading tree. The area under ber in India is about 50 million hectare and production consists of 513 million tons. The area under ber in Tamilnadu is about 46.2 ha and production consists of 425.1 mt. The ber fruit is very well-liked among consumers due to its high nutritional value but somewhat lower market price. Its fruit is delicious and is usually eaten fresh. Also fruit of the ber is considered more nutritious than apple for its higher protein, Beta-carotene and vitamin-C (70-165 mg/100g fruit pulp), (Ram et al., 2005). The berries are the richest source of amino acids. Among the amino acids, asparagine, arginine, glutamic acid, aspartic acid, glycine, serine, alfa serine and

threonine are found in ber pulp (Bal, 1981). For getting best quality ber, it can be achieved with the foliar application of plant growth regulators and nutrients. Micronutrients play a specific role in improving the growth, yield and quality of ber plant, even though these elements are needed in small quantities. Zinc element is essentially required for growth, development and also involved in a diverse range of enzyme systems in ber. The functional role of zinc includes auxin metabolism, influence on activating enzyme synthesis and stability of ribosomal fractions (Kaur, 2017).

Therefore, this study was undertaken to (i) study the effect of micronutrients and plant growth regulators on morpho-physiological and yield and yield parameters of ber under rainfed vertisol and (ii) to fix up a suitable method of application of micronutrients and plant growth regulators combination for high yield and quality of ber under rainfed vertisol conditions.

2. MATERIALS METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at the Regional research Station, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Aruppukottai, under Indian Council of Agricultural Research - All India Coordinated Research project on Arid Zone Fruit Crops. The experiment was laid in a Randomized Block design with four replications. The plots had five treatments and the details were presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Treatment Details

Treatments	Details
T ₁	: Nutrient Mixture
T ₂	: Nutrient Mixture + NAA 50ppm
T ₃	: Nutrient Mixture + Salicylic acid 50ppm
T ₄	: Nutrient Mixture + NAA 50ppm+ Salicylic acid 50ppm
T ₅	: Control

The concentration of nutrients in Nutrient Mixture details were presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Concentration of nutrients in Nutrient Mixture

S.No	Nutrients	Concentration
1.	Potassium	: 0.15% (1.5g / lit)
2.	Thio Urea	: 0.1% (1g / lit of water)
3.	ZnSO ₄	: 0.1% (1g / lit of water)
4.	Boric acid	: 0.1% (1g / lit of water)
5.	MgSO ₄	: 0.1% (1g / lit of water)
6.	FeSO ₄	: 0.1% (1g / lit of water)
7.	CuSO ₄	: 0.1% (1g / lit of water)
8.	MnSO ₄	0.1% (1g / lit of water)
9.	Citric acid	0.05% (0.5g / lit of water)
10.	Sodium Molybdate	0.025% (0.25g / lit of water)

The variety Banarasi was used as experimental material with all normal cultural practices followed for ber cultivation. Three trees were selected from each treatment and replication for recording observations on Tree height (m), Girth (cm), Number of primary branches per Tree, No of secondary branches per Tree and Tree spread East – West and North – South (m). Tree height was measured from base to apex using a measuring tape attached with pole. The tree girth also measured at 30 cm above ground using tape. The tree spread was measured canopy diameter East–West and North–South with tape. The physiological parameters like., Ascorbic acid (mg/100g), soluble protein (mg /g), total chlorophyll (mg/g), specific leaf weight (mg/cm²), relative water content (%) and chlorophyll stability index (%) were recorded fifteen days after foliar applications of nutrients and plant growth regulators. The yield and quality characters like., number of fruits per tree, pulp weight (g), stone weight (g), pulp stone ratio and total soluble solids (brix) were recorded during the harvest stage.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Morphological Parameters (Table 3)

3.1.1 Tree height

The result on tree height revealed that, treatment T₃ recorded the tallest tree in terms of height

than the other treatments. Among the five treatments, T₃ (Nutrient mixture + Salicylic acid 50ppm (T₃) registered higher values in Tree height (3.48 m) (Table 3), which was followed by treatment T₁ (Nutrient Mixture) had the tree height of 3.40m. Whereas the control recorded the lowest values in tree height (3.01 m).

3.1.2 Tree girth

The data on tree girth reported that treatment T₃ recorded the highest tree girth than the other treatments. Among the five treatments, T₃ (Nutrient mixture + Salicylic acid 50ppm (T₃) registered higher values in Tree height (3.48 m) (Table 3), which was followed by treatment T₁ (Nutrient Mixture) had the tree height of 3.40m. Whereas, the control recorded the lowest values in tree height (3.01 m).

3.1.3 Number of primary branches

The report on Number of Primary branches stated that, among the five treatments, T₃ (Nutrient mixture + Salicylic acid 50ppm (T₃) registered higher values in Number of Primary branches (2.63) (Table 3), Which was followed by treatment T₁ (Nutrient Mixture) had the Number of Primary branches of 2.50. Whereas, the control recorded the lowest values in Number of Primary branches (2.13).

3.1.4 Number of Secondary branches

The outcome on the Number of Secondary branches stated that treatment T₃ recorded the largest Number of Secondary branches among the other treatments. Among the five treatments, T₃ (Nutrient mixture + Salicylic acid 50ppm (T₃) registered the higher values in Number of Secondary branches (9.0) (Table 3), which was followed by treatment T₁ (Nutrient Mixture) had the Number of Secondary branches 8.50. Whereas, the control recorded lowest values in Number of Secondary branches (7.25).

3.1.5 Tree spread

Among the nine treatments, T₃ (Nutrient mixture + Salicylic acid 50ppm (T₃) registered higher values in tree spread (3.22 EW, 3.06 NS) (Table 2), which was followed by treatment T₁ (Nutrient mixture) had the tree spread (3.09 EW, 2.98 NS) than the other treatments. Whereas, the control recorded lowest values in tree spread (2.85 EW, 2.63 NS) in among the treatments.

3.2 Physiological Parameters (Table 4)

Comparing the five treatments, foliar application of Nutrient Mixture + NAA 50ppm+ Salicylic acid 50ppm (T₄) recorded the highest value in ascorbic acid content (93.52 mg/100g), soluble protein content (49.40 mg g⁻¹), total chlorophyll

content (2.54 mg g⁻¹), and specific leaf weight (4.90 mg/cm²) (Table 4). The least value was observed in control treatments (T₁). The treatment T₄ (Nutrient Mixture + NAA 50ppm+ Salicylic acid 50ppm) maintained its superiority in relative water content and chlorophyll stability index of about 82.80% and 64.39% to the other treatments. An increase in ascorbic acid content might be due to perpetual synthesis of glucose-6-phosphate throughout the growth and development of fruit which is thought to be the precursor of vitamin-C Bhati and Yadav (2003).

3.3 Fruit Quality and Yield Parameters (Table 5)

The yield and quality characters like., number of fruits per tree, pulp weight (g), stone weight (g), pulp stone ratio and total soluble solids (brix) were recorded during the harvest stage and data were presented in Table 5. Comparing the five treatments, foliar application of Nutrient Mixture + NAA 50ppm+ Salicylic acid 50ppm (T₄) recorded the highest value in Number of Fruits/tree (250.90), Pulp weight (9.94g), least Stone weight (0.86g) Pulp : Stone ratio (11.30), TSS (13.46 Brix). The least value was observed in control treatments (T₁). The treatment T₄ (Nutrient Mixture + NAA 50ppm+ Salicylic acid 50ppm) maintained its superiority in yield of about 3.84 kg tree⁻¹ than the other treatments.

Table 3. Effect of nutrients and PGRs on morphological parameters in ber

Treatments	Tree height (m)	Girth (cm)	No of branches /Tree		Tree spread (m)	
			Primary	Secondary	EW	NS
T ₁	3.40	58.60	2.50	8.50	3.09	2.98
T ₂	3.38	55.00	2.25	7.87	2.63	2.79
T ₃	3.48	64.88	2.63	9.00	3.22	3.06
T ₄	3.33	47.52	2.13	7.38	2.96	2.89
T ₅	3.01	53.45	2.13	7.25	2.85	2.63
Mean	3.32	55.89	2.33	8.00	2.95	2.87
SEd	0.52	2.24	0.31	0.86	0.52	0.46
CD(P=0.05)	0.98	4.49	0.62	1.64	1.06	0.89

Table 4. Effect of nutrients and PGRs on physiological parameters in ber

Treatments	Ascorbic acid (mg / 100g)	Soluble protein (mg / g)	Total chlorophyll (mg / g)	Specific Leaf Weight (mg / cm ²)	RWC (%)	CSI (%)
T ₁	89.91	43.10	1.98	4.81	81.20	62.45
T ₂	90.51	43.90	2.24	4.79	82.80	64.60
T ₃	92.51	46.20	2.21	4.81	82.20	64.00
T ₄	93.52	49.40	2.54	4.90	82.80	64.39
T ₅	90.35	40.40	1.78	4.74	80.10	62.00
Mean	91.36	44.60	2.15	4.81	81.82	63.49
SEd	2.61	1.89	0.29	0.54	2.41	2.07
CD(P=0.05)	5.23	3.61	0.58	1.07	4.67	4.13

Table 5. Effect of nutrients and PGRs on fruit quality and yield parameters in ber

Treatments	No. of Fruits / tree	Pulp weight (g)	Stone weight (g)	Pulp: Stone ratio	TSS (^o Brix)	Yield (Kg / tree)
T ₁	219.20	9.01	0.88	10.27	12.40	2.17
T ₂	225.90	9.50	0.87	10.94	12.04	2.34
T ₃	229.60	9.80	0.90	10.89	13.16	2.55
T ₄	250.90	9.94	0.86	11.30	13.46	3.84
T ₅	186.10	8.98	0.90	9.98	12.00	1.84
Mean	222.34	9.45	0.88	10.68	12.61	2.55
SEd	4.31	0.94	0.05	0.98	0.99	0.07
CD(P=0.05)	8.97	2.04	0.10	1.94	1.98	0.12

These results were in agreement with the report of Samant *et al.* (2008) who stated that, the increase in yield per plant is obviously due to the increase in volume and weight of the fruit with the combined application of GA₃, NAA and KNO₃. According to Bal *et al.* (1984) recorded that the application of NAA increased the percentage of TSS significantly in ber. The increase the values of total soluble solid, sugar and protein in ber might be because growth regulators and nutrients helps in transformations of polysaccharides and pectin into soluble compounds and translocation of sugars from leaves to the developing fruits through cellular membranes by formation of an ionizable sugar borate complex and also improvements in root biomass of plant to uptake nutrients from soil (Prakash *et al.*, 2014).

According to Kale *et al.* (2000) who stated that, the possible reasons for enhancement in fruit pulp weight, pulp: stone ratio and specific gravity with GA₃, NAA and KNO₃ might be due to the fact that higher synthesis of metabolites and enhanced mobilization of food and minerals from other parts of the plants towards the developing fruits as it is a well fact that the fruit acts as extremely active metabolic sink. These results are in close proximity with the findings of Kale *et al.* (2000), Singh and Randhawa (2001) in ber. Increased fruit physical parameter in ber with exogenous foliar application of GA₃, NAA and KNO₃ has also been recorded by Arora and Singh (2014) and Samant *et al.* (2008) in ber.

The highest fruit yield recorded by foliar spray of NAA 30ppm+GA₃+KNO₃, may be attributed to better uptake and mobilization of nutrients to sink leading to better fruit development. These findings are also supported by the results of Prakash *et al.* (2014), who applied NAA 30ppm + KNO₃ (2%) in pomegranate.

4. CONCLUSION

The foliar application of Nutrient Mixture + NAA 50ppm+ Salicylic acid 50ppm (T₄) can be recommended for the enhancement of the fruit set, fruit retention and yield characters in ber. The increase in the fruit yield with the foliar application of nutrients may be attributed to increased fruit size, fruit weight and minimum fruit drop. In addition, more cell division, cell elongation and translocation of photosynthates and metabolites from leaves to the developing fruit resulted in higher fruit yield.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are given special thanks to All India Coordinated Research Project on Arid Zone Fruit Crops, Indian Council of Agricultural Research for providing the funds for conducting the field experiments.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Arora, R., & Singh, S. (2014). Effect of growth regulators on quality of ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk) cv. Umran. *Agriculture Science Digest*, 34(2), 102–106.
- Bal, J. S. (1981). Some aspects of developmental physiology of ber

- (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.). *Progressive Horticulture*, 12, 5–12.
- Bal, J.S.; Singh, S.N.; Randhwa, J.S. and Jawanda, J.S. (1984). Effect of growth regulator on fruit drop, size and quality of ber. *Indian J. Hort.*, 41 (3-4): 182-185.
- Bhati, B. S., & Yadav, P. K. (2003). Effect of foliar application of urea and NAA on the quality of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Gola. *Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences*, 32, 32–33.
- Hussain, S. Z., Naseer, B., Qadri, T., Fatima, T., & Bhat, T. A. (2021). Ber/Jujube (*Zizyphus mauritiana*): Morphology, taxonomy, composition and health benefits. In *Fruits grown in highland regions of the Himalayas: Nutritional and health benefits* (pp. 157–168). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Kale, V. S., Dod, V. N., Adpawar, R. M., & Bharad, S. G. (2000). Effect of plant growth regulators on fruit characters and quality of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.). *Crop Research*, 20, 327–333.
- Kaur, S. (2017). Effect of micronutrients and plant growth regulators on fruit set, fruit retention, yield and quality attributes in litchi cultivar Dehradun. *Chemical Science Review and Letters*, 6(22), 982–986.
- Patel, B., Kumar, V., Srivastava, A. K., Singh, S. C., Prakash, O. M., & Chugh, V. (2023). Effect of plant growth regulator and nutrients on chemical composition and yield of Ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Thai Apple under Bundelkhand region of Uttar Pradesh. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 12(3), 1560–1564.
- Prakash, K., & Balakrishnan, S. (2014). Effect of foliar application of some chemical substances on fruit characters of pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) cv. Bahgwa. *Trends in Biosciences*, 7(21), 3500–3501.
- Ram, R. B., Pandey, S., & Kumar, A. (2005). Effect of plant growth regulators (NAA and GA₃) on fruit retention, physicochemical parameters and yield of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Banarasi Karaka. *Biochemical and Cellular Archives*, 5, 229–232.
- Samant, D., Mishra, N. K., Singh, A. K., & Lal, R. L. (2008). Effect of micronutrient sprays on fruit yield and quality during storage in ber cv. Umran under ambient conditions. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, 65(4), 399–404.
- Singh, K., & Randhawa, J. S. (2001). Effect of growth regulators and fungicides on fruit drop, yield and quality of fruit in ber cv. Umran. *Journal Research, Punjab Agriculture University*, 38(3/4), 181–185.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2025): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/143042>