



# **Effect of Organic Manures Combinations on Yield Attributes of Finger Millet Var. VL Mandua 379**

**Nabam Akli <sup>a\*</sup>, Kasinam Doruk <sup>a</sup>, Karge Basar <sup>a</sup>,  
Sanchung Lida <sup>a</sup>, Anki Mibang <sup>a</sup>, Tadang Meena <sup>b</sup>  
and Nakeertha Venu <sup>b</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Himalayan University, Jollang, Arunachal Pradesh, India.

<sup>b</sup> ICAR-National Academy of Agricultural Research Management, Hyderabad, India.

## **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

## **Article Information**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/acri/2024/v24i6787>

## **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120333>

**Original Research Article**

**Received: 19/05/2024**

**Accepted: 21/07/2024**

**Published: 23/07/2024**

## **ABSTRACT**

A field experiment was conducted at Himalayan University farm, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh, during the kharif season of 2023 with 8 treatments replicated thrice with 24 plots each 3x3 meter in randomized block design, to determine the effect of organic manures on yield of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.). The experiment included the following treatments T<sub>1</sub>- Control, T<sub>2</sub>- Castor oilcake at 2.5kg/ha+ Poultry manure at 2.5kg/ha, T<sub>3</sub>- Bone meal at 2.5kg/ha + Poultry manure at 2.5kg/ha, T<sub>4</sub>- Mustard oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Goat manure at 2.5kg/ha, T<sub>5</sub>- Bone meal at 2.5kg/ha + Neem oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Fym at 2.5kg/ha, T<sub>6</sub>- Neem oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Goat manure at 2.5kg/ha, T<sub>7</sub>- Mustard oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Poultry manure 2.5kg/ha, and T<sub>8</sub>- Bone meal at

\*Corresponding author: Email: [aklinabam30@gmail.com](mailto:aklinabam30@gmail.com);

**Cite as:** Akli, Nabam, Kasinam Doruk, Karge Basar, Sanchung Lida, Anki Mibang, Tadang Meena, and Nakeertha Venu. 2024. "Effect of Organic Manures Combinations on Yield Attributes of Finger Millet Var. VL Mandua 379". Archives of Current Research International 24 (6):293-98. <https://doi.org/10.9734/acri/2024/v24i6787>.

2.5kg/ha + Goat manure at 2.5kg/ha. All the fertilizers were top dressed on the surface layer of the soil after 1 week of transplantation. The highest finger length (cm) recorded was 6.5 cm at harvest, the highest test weight (g) recorded was 3.1 g at harvest, the highest grain yield recorded was ( $\text{t ha}^{-1}$ )  $4.02 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  at harvest, highest straw yield ( $\text{t ha}^{-1}$ ) recorded was  $7.9 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  and harvest index (%) 36.78 % at harvest were observed with treatment T<sub>5</sub>– Bone meal at 2.5kg/ha + Neem oilcake 2.5kg/ha + Fym at 2.5kg/ha.

**Keywords:** Finger millet; oilcake; RBD; grain yield; straw yield.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) is the oldest food and first cereal grain used for domestic purpose. Cultivated Finger millet was domesticated about 5000 years ago from the wild was then also farmed in the lowlands of Africa. It is one of the oldest crops India is referred as “nrtta-kondaka” in the ancient Indian Sanskrit literature, which means “Dancing grain”, was also addressed as “rajika” or “markatak” (Achaya, 2009). “Earliest report of finger millet comes from Hallur in Karnataka of India dating approximately 2300 BC” [1]. “Organic farming practices are gaining importance as farmers realized benefits in terms of soil fertility, soil health and sustainable productivity. Most of the research on organic production of finger millet was applied with utilization of FYM, green manures, compost, neem cake, etc [2,3]. Less number of researches was done on the effect of liquid organic manures like panchagavya, jeevamrutham, and beejamrutham alone or together with solid organic manures in finger millet organic liquid formulations like jeevamrutham and panchagavya helps for quick build-up of soil fertility through enhanced activity of micro flora and fauna” (Deva Kumar et al., 2008).

The purpose of this research is to evaluate the effect of combination of organic fertilizer on the yield performance of the finger millet (*var. VL Mandua 379*). The study aims to identify optimal combination that maximize yield. The application of organic manure fertilizer adequate supply nutrients from the organic sources, leading to increase in the metabolic and translocation of nutrients to plants, which improves the nutrient uptake of the plants, rather than in organic one. Moreover, the combination of organic fertilizer improves the soil fertility because soil is the mother universe and balance nutrient supply to plants.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during the Kharif season of 2023 at Himalayan University in

Itanagar. The Crop Research Farm is located in Jollang at the university campus, situated at 27.14°N latitude and 93.62°E longitude, and an altitude of 320 meters above sea level. The site belongs to the Eastern Himalayan region, and the agro-climatic zone falls under the subtropical zone of Arunachal Pradesh.

The treatments include T1- Control ,T2- Castor oilcake at 2.5kg/ha+ Poultry manure at 2.5kg/ha,T3- Bone meal at 2.5kg/ha + Poultry manure at 2.5kg/ha,T4- Mustard oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Goat manure at 2.5kg/ha,T5- Bone meal at 2.5kg/ha + Neem oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Fym at 2.5kg/ha,T6- Neem oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Goat manure at 2.5kg/ha, T7- Mustard oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Poultry manure 2.5kg/ha, and T8- Bone meal at 2.5kg/ha + Goat manure at 2.5kg/ha. All the manures were top dressed. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) in the year 2023. Various methods for calculation of yield parameters were used. For finger length (cm) five ears were harvested and finger length was noted. The length of the ear was noted from the base spikelet till the longest finger and mean values were calculated. The 1000 seeds from five fresh cobs were obtained immediately after harvest, weighed and the average was calculated for the test weight in g. The net plot was marked, harvested separately and dried. After threshing, grains were separated, clean and weighed, later the grain yield per net plot was calculated on  $\text{t ha}^{-1}$ . The straw per net plot area was cut close to the ground level and was left for sun dry in the field, later it was weighed and computed as straw yield in  $\text{t ha}^{-1}$ . The harvest index (%) was calculated using the formula (Donald, 1962).

$$\text{Harvest index (HI)} = \frac{\text{Economical yield (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{\text{Biological yield (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}} \times 100$$

**Statistical analysis:** The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design. The data recorded during investigation were subjected to statistical analysis as per method of analysis of variance (ANOVA). The significance and non-significance of the treatment effect were judged

with the help of „F“ variance ratio test. Calculated „F“ value (variance ratio) was compared with the Table 1 values of „F“ at 5% level of significance. If calculated value exceeded the Table 1 value, the effect was significant.

### 3. RESULTS

**Finger length and test weight:** According to the recorded data (Table 2) Finger length (cm) and test weight (g) at the harvest shows that there is was a significant effect of different treatments on the test weight (g) and finger length (cm). Among the treatments significantly higher finger length (6.5cm) were observed in the treatment T<sub>5</sub> treatment (Bone meal at 2.5kg/ha + Neem oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Fym at 2.5kg/ha) which remained on par with T<sub>3</sub> treatment (Bone meal at 2.5kg/ha + Poultry manure at 2.5kg/ha) (6.3cm), whereas the lower finger length (4.8cm) were recorded with the absolute control (T<sub>1</sub>).

Similarly significantly higher test weight (3.1g) were recorded in the treatment T<sub>5</sub> (Bone meal at 2.5kg/ha + Neem oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Fym at 2.5kg/ha) which remained on par with T<sub>3</sub> treatment (Bone meal at 2.5kg/ha + Poultry manure at 2.5kg/ha) (3.01g). The lowest test weight (2.1g) was recorded with the absolute control (T<sub>1</sub>).

**Grain yield and straw yield:** The grain yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and straw yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded at harvest is presented in Table 3. According to recorded data there was a significant effect of different treatments on the grain yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and straw yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>). The highest grain yield at harvest (4.02 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with the treatment T<sub>5</sub> (Bone meal at 2.5kg/ha + Neem oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Fym at 2.5kg/ha) which remained on par with T<sub>3</sub> treatment (Bone meal at 2.5kg/ha + Poultry manure at 2.5kg/ha) (3.85 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), whereas the lowest grain yield was recorded with the absolute control (T<sub>1</sub>).

**Table 1. Physio-chemical properties of soil in the experimental field, HimalayanUniversity**


Particulars	Value	Methods Employed
Sand (%)	54.2%	International pipette method (Piper, 1966)
Silt (%)	29.5%	
Clay (%)	16.3%	
Soil texture	Sandy loam	
Soil pH	4.2	Potentiometric method (Piper, 1966)
Organic carbon	1.59%	Walkely and Black wet oxidation method(Jackson 1973)
Electrical conductivity	0.452 dS/m	Conductivity bridge (Jackson 1973)
Available Nitrogen	613.5 Kg/ha	Alkaline permanganate method(Subbaiah and Asija, 1956)
Available Phosphorus	4.86 Kg/ha	Bray"s method (Jackson, 1973)
Available Potassium	218.4 Kg/ha	Flame photometer method (Jackson, 1973)

**Table 2. Effect of organic manures on finger length (cm) and test weight (g) of fingermillet.**

Treatment combinations	Finger length (cm)	Test weight (g)
T <sub>1</sub> - Control	4.8	2.1
T <sub>2</sub> - Castor Oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Poultry Manure at 2.5kg/ha	5.8	2.61
T <sub>3</sub> - Bone Meal at 2.5kg/ha + Poultry Manure at 2.5kg/ha	6.3	3.01
T <sub>4</sub> - Mustard Oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Goat Manure at 2.5kg/ha	5.4	2.48
T <sub>5</sub> - Bone Meal at 2.5kg/ha + Neem Oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + FYM at 2.5kg/ha	6.5	3.1
T <sub>6</sub> - Neem Oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Goat Manure at 2.5kg/ha	6.1	2.8
T <sub>7</sub> - Mustard Oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Poultry Manure at 2.5kg/ha	5.6	2.56
T <sub>8</sub> - Bone Meal at 2.5kg/ha + Goat Manure at 2.5kg/ha	5.2	2.39
F test	S	S
S.Ed (±)	0.15	0.11
CD (=0.05)	0.34	0.25

**Table 3.** Effect of organic manures on grain yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and straw yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) offinger millet

Treatment combinations	Grain yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub> - Control	1.83	3.64
T <sub>2</sub> - Castor Oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Poultry Manure at 2.5kg/ha	3.63	7.62
T <sub>3</sub> - Bone Meal at 2.5kg/ha + Poultry Manure at 2.5kg/ha	3.85	7.8
T <sub>4</sub> - Mustard Oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Goat Manure at 2.5kg/ha	3.43	7.43
T <sub>5</sub> - Bone Meal at 2.5kg/ha + Neem Oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + FYM at 2.5kg/ha	4.02	7.9
T <sub>6</sub> - Neem Oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Goat Manure at 2.5kg/ha	3.72	7.7
T <sub>7</sub> - Mustard Oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Poultry Manure at 2.5kg/ha	3.52	7.54
T <sub>8</sub> - Bone Meal at 2.5kg/ha + Goat Manure at 2.5kg/ha	3.37	6.98
F test	S	S
S.Ed (±)	0.15	0.14
CD (=0.05)	0.32	0.29

**Fig. 1.** Effect of organic manures on harvest index (%) of finger millet.

It was observed that the same trend was continued for straw yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) at harvest (7.9 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with T<sub>5</sub> (Bone meal at 2.5kg/ha + Neem oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Fym at 2.5kg/ha) which remained on par with T<sub>3</sub> treatment (Bone meal at 2.5kg/ha + Poultry manure at 2.5kg/ha) (7.8 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) whereas the lowest straw yield (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with the absolute control (T<sub>1</sub>).

**Harvest index (%):** The harvest index (%) recorded at harvest is graphically represented in Fig 1.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

The probable reason for recording higher finger length of finger millet under treatment T<sub>5</sub> (Bone

Meal at 2.5kg/ha + Neem Oilcake at 2.5 kg/ ha + FYM at 2.5 kg/ha) may be due to the application of bone meal, as it provide phosphorus and calcium to plant along with a largely inconsequential amount of nitrogen which stimulates meristematic and physiological activities which increase photosynthesis rate leading to increase in finger length. The similar finding was documented by Prathima *et al.* (2018). The least value of finger length of finger millet was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> (Control) it might be because of low nutrition to plant of the particular treatment.

Recording higher test weight under treatment T<sub>5</sub> (Bone Meal at 2.5kg/ha + Neem Oilcake at 2.5 kg/ ha+ FYM at 2.5 kg/ha) is might be due adequate supply nutrients from the organic

sources, leading to increase in the metabolic and translocation of nutrients to the seeds, which results in the higher test weight of the seeds. Similar finding was documented by Suresh *et al.* (2017). The lowest test weight value was found in the treatment which did not receives any fertilizers i.e. T<sub>1</sub> (Control).

The probable reason for recording higher grain yield under treatment T<sub>5</sub> (Bone Meal at 2.5kg/ha + Neem Oilcake at 2.5 kg/ ha + FYM at 2.5 kg/ha) is due to due integration of all three organic manures. Neem oilcake increases the soil fertility, and improves nutrient uptake of the plants, whereas bone meal and fym are rich in plant nutrients which lead them to high in the grain yield. The same result was reported by Ananda *et al.* [4]. The lowest value was recorded in the treatment where no fertilizer was applied i.e. T<sub>1</sub> (Control).

The higher straw yield under treatment T<sub>5</sub> (Bone Meal at 2.5kg/ha + Neem Oilcake at 2.5 kg/ ha + FYM at 2.5 kg/ha) is due to balance nutrient supply to plants by bone meal and fym. Neem oilcake increases plant growth and nutrient uptake of finger millet in presence of both bone meal and fym which leads to increase in higher straw yield of the plants. Similar finding was reported by Pallavi *et al.* [5]. Lowest straw yield was recorded in the treatment T<sub>1</sub> (Control) is due to low nutrition to plant because of no application any fertilizers.

Harvest index is an important parameter that indicates how effectively a plant partitions dry matter to its economically valuable parts. The probable reason for recording higher harvest index (%) of plant under treatment T<sub>5</sub> (Bone Meal at 2.5kg/ha + Neem Oilcake at 2.5 kg/ ha + FYM at 2.5 kg/ha) is due to integration of organic manures, (Jagtaran *et al.*, 2018) also found that combination of such manures also improves the yield and yield attributes of the finger millet [6-10].

## 5. CONCLUSION

Considering the salient findings in perspective, the study revealed that integrating organic manures into finger millet cultivation can lead to significant improvement in yield attributes. The combinations of Bone meal at 2.5kg/ha + Neem oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Fym at 2.5kg/ha (T<sub>5</sub>) was found to be best for maximizing yield parameters (finger length, test weight, grain yield, straw yield) of the finger millet. It is observed that

harvest index (%) was also found to be significant in the treatment with the combination of Bone meal at 2.5kg/ha + Neem oilcake at 2.5kg/ha + Fym at 2.5kg/ha (T<sub>5</sub>).

## DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am grateful to all the research committees who were part of the study and have provided their valuable inputs. Especially I would like to thanks Dr. Kasinam Doruk for her major role in the completion my research on "Effect of Organic Manures Combinations on Yield Attributes of the Finger Millet *Var. VL Mandua 379*". My heartfelt gratitude goes to my parents who had supported me financially and for their continuous encouragement and motivation. I would also like to thank my friends who had been with me from the beginning to till the completion of this research work.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Singh SK. Role of leadership in knowledge management: a study. Journal of knowledge management. 2008 Jul 18;12(4):3-15.
2. Arif M, Tasneem M, Bashir F, Yaseen G, Iqbal RM. Effect of integrated use of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on yield and yield components of rice. J. Agric. Res. 2014 Apr 1;52(2):197-206.
3. Kulkarni MV, Patel KC, Patil DD, Pathak M. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on yield and yield attributes of groundnut and wheat. International J. of Chemical Studies. 2018;6(2):87-90.
4. Ananda MR, Sharanappa. Growth, yield and quality of groundnut as influenced by organic nutrient management in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) – finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.) cropping system. Mysore Journal of Agricultural Science. 2017;51 (2):385 - 391.

5. Pallavi CH, Joseph B, Khan MA, Hemalatha S. Effect of organic fertilizers and biofertilizers on yield and yield attributing traits of direct sown rainfed finger millet, *Eleusine coracana* (L) Gaertn. International journal of farm sciences. 2017;7 (3):101-105.
6. Basavaraj NT, Kumar NH, Suresh K.P. Nutrient management practices for organic cultivation of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.) under southern transitional zone of Karnataka, India. International Journal of Current Microbiological Applied Sciences. 2017; 6(11):3371-3376.
7. Chowdary KA, Patra BC. Effect of micronutrient application with different sources of npk on growth and yield of finger millet crop in red laterite zone. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology B. 2019;9:403-416.
8. Narayan H, Ramachandrappa BK, Thimmegouda MN. Yield and economics of finger millet with establishment methods under different Planting geometry and nutrient Source. Indian Journal of Dry land Research and Development. 2018;33(1): 54-58.
9. Prakasha G, Kalyana KN, Rohani NM, Prathima AS. Effect of spacing and nutrient levels on growth and attributes and yield of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn) under guni method of planting in red sandy loamy soil of Karnataka, India. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;7(5):1337-1343.
10. Ranges Kumar, Pattanayak SK, Jagtaran, Rajput PS. Studying the influence of long term INM practices on yield and quality of ragi crop. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemi Stry. 2018;7 (1):2175-2177.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120333>